## Freight Mobility Strategic Investment Program Criteria

Summary of Evaluation Criteria:	Weight
Freight Mobility for the Project Area	35 Maximum
Freight Mobility for the Region, State, & Nation	35 Maximum
General Mobility	25 Maximum
Safety	20 Maximum
Freight & Economic Value	15 Maximum
Environment	10 Maximum
Partnership	25 Maximum
Consistency with Regional & State Plans	5 Maximum
Cost	10 Maximum
Special Issues	8 Maximum
	188 points

Freight Mobility for the Project Area	35 Maximum
Reduce truck, train or rail car delays	0-25
Increase capacity for peak hour truck or train movement	0-10
Freight Mobility for the Region, State, & Nation	35 Maximum
Importance to the regional freight system & regional economy	0-10
Importance to state freight system & state economy	0-10
Direct access to ports or international border	0-10
Provide a corridor/system solution	0-5
General Mobility	25 Maximum
Reduce vehicular traffic delay	0-10
Reduce queuing & backups	0-7
Reduce delay from use of alternative railroad crossing	0-5
Address urban principal arterials	
Urban principal arterial	3
Otherwise	0
Safety	20 Maximum
Reduce railroad crossing accidents	0-5
Reduce non-railroad crossing accidents	0-5
Provide emergency vehicle access	
Essential access route	5
Otherwise	0
Close additional related railroad crossings	
2 or more additional crossing closures	5
1 additional crossing closure	3
No crossing closures	0

*FMSIB* 1 2005

## Freight Mobility Strategic Investment Program Criteria

Freight & Economic Value	15 Maximum
Benefit mainline rail operations	
High	5
Moderate	3
Minimal	1
Negligible	0
Access to key employment areas	0-5
Support faster train movements	0-5
Environment	10 Maximum
Reduce vehicle emissions	0-5
<ul> <li>1.0 x delay in attainment area</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>1.5 x delay in non-attainment area</li> </ul>	
Reduce train whistle noise in crossing vicinity (number of se	ensitive receptor sites) 0-5
Partnership	25 Maximum
Matching Funds (35% match is required)	20 maximum
	t for every 4% of match after initial 20%)
	t for every 2% of match after initial 20%)
Critical timing of partner investments	0-5
Consistency with Regional & State Plans	5 Maximum
Regional transportation plan	3
State level transportation plan	2
Not in regional or state transportation plan	0
Cost	10 Maximum
Cost effectiveness (reduced delay time/project cost)	0-7
Degree to which least-cost alternatives are considered	0-3
Special Issues	8 Maximum
Address special or unique circumstances not otherwise add	

*FMSIB* 2 2005